

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,332	02/07/2002	Kazuhisa Fujimoto	HITA.0162	4594
	7590 04/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Stanley P. Fisher			KIM, HONG CHONG	
Reed Smith H	lazel & Thomas LLP	·		
Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	2
Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••			\mathcal{A}_{i}				
	4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/067,332	FUJIMOTO, KAZUHISA				
Onice	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The AGAII	INC DATE of this communication or	Hong C Kim	2186				
Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sneet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg is specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by statury the Office later than three months after the mailind djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on <u>07 f</u>	February 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> -	-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.						
	-8 is/are rejected.		·				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the Examin	er.					
	0) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $2/7/02$ is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	ay not request that any objection to the						
	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11) Ine oath or	declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119						
	gment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
]Some * c)☐ None of: ified copies of the priority documen	its have been received					
	ified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
	es of the certified copies of the price	• •					
	ication from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	1						
Attachment(s)	/ 0'/ (DTO 2001)	🗖 .					
 Notice of Reference D Notice of Draftspers 	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/067,332 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

Detailed Action

1. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the application filed on 02/07/02.

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. Applicants are reminded of the duty to disclose information under 37 CFR 1.56.
- 4. Applicants are requested to update the status of the related U.S. patent application (e.g. 10/222,831 filed Aug. 19, 2002). The status of the related U.S. applications must be updated accordingly (e.g., U.S. Patent Application Serial No. ##/###,### filled Sept. 07, 1990, now abandoned; ..., now U.S. Patent #,###,### issued Jan. 01, 1994; or This application is a continuation of Serial Number ##/###, filed on December 01, 1990, now abandoned; ...etc.) in the Related Applications section and in any other corresponding area in the specification, if any. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/067,332

Art Unit: 2186

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/222,831. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims of copending Application No. 10/222,831 contain every element of claims 1-8 of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 1-8 of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,332 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

2. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 USC 133, MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

- 3. Applicants are requested to number each line of each <u>claim</u> starting with line number one to provide easier communication in the future.
- 4. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. ' 1.111(c).
- 5. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong C Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-3835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,332

Art Unit: 2186

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt M Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to TC-2100:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

HK

Primary Patent Examiner

April 27, 2004

Page 5